

24: Section C.V.B.1 and C.V.B.2 states that the contractor shall provide preventative and corrective maintenance ... on facilities listed in Part III Section J Attachment. Contractor shall maintain regular service schedule for each building or location in Section J ... does this include facilities listed org. resp. and S&M of REM contractor in Attachment J?

Answer: The infrastructure contractor is responsible for S&M only on buildings in the listing that are indicated as infrastructure under the S&M column.

25: There is no mention of medical surveillance for toxic substances. It is primarily associated with remediation work but would that be a service provided through the infrastructure contractor.

Answer: The infrastructure contractor is not responsible for medical surveillance of the remediation contractor employees for toxic substances. However, the infrastructure contractor(s) is required in accordance with the solicitation/contract provisions to have its own occupational medical program.

26: It is implied through the requirement to have a certified lab for dosimetry that the Infrastructure will also issue dosimeters. Is this the case? Will it include dosimetry management for the remediation contractor?

Answer: The infrastructure contractor will not issue and manage dosimeters for the remediation contractor. Refer to C. and C.2 statements of work, section V.K.7.

27: Portsmouth - Who has responsibility for the DUF6 inventory?

Answer: The infrastructure contractor does not have responsibility for the DUF6 inventory. That responsibility lies with another contractor.

28: Will the contractor be the integrator for all site entities?

Answer: There is no integrating contractor for the overall site. The infrastructure contractor is responsible for its own activities as defined in the solicitation.

29: Will USEC provided services to DOE be managed through this contract?

Answer: No; however, the infrastructure contractor(s) will provide technical and administrative assistance necessary to support DOE's oversight of USEC as stated in the RFP.

30: What will the relationship be between the IS and ER contractors as it relates to ES&H and specifically ISMS?

Answer: Infrastructure and remediation will have separate programs for ES&H and ISMS; however, DOE expects cohesive interfaces between the contractors.

31: Currently an certain amount of labor is subcontracted through USEC (craft labor, etc.). Should we assume this labor will continue to be subcontracted or should we assume this work be performed by our own employees?

Answer: It is not clear what is being referred to by “an certain amount of labor.” The work to be performed by the infrastructure contractor(s) is described in the RFP.

32: Are any functions under USEC Site Services Agreement at PORTS and PAD going to be assumed by Infrastructure Contractor?

Answer: The work to be performed by infrastructure contractor(s) is set forth in the statements of work and solicitation.

33: The RFP indicates that all training for site employees, except USEC, will be provided under the IS contract. Does this mean the IS Contractor will provide general training for ER contract personnel?

Answer: All personnel on site, excluding employees of USEC, as well as visitors are to be provided the required mandatory training by the infrastructure contractor.

34: MC&A is included in the scope of work, but also appears to be excluded therefrom. What is the scope for MC&A on this procurement?

Section C, Statement of Work, Site Security. With respect to Site Security, both the Paducah and Portsmouth statements of work include materials control and accountability in the work for the contractor, but subsequently states that this work will be retained by USEC and therefore excluded from this statement of work? Please clarify whether USEC or the contractor will perform this work?

Section C.1, Subsection V, Paragraph J.1 – Site Security, Page C-8: Paragraph J.1 lists site security functions to be performed by the Contractor. Included in the list are “material control and accountability and management of access/control”: The next paragraph states that these same functions are excluded from this contract and will be provided by DOE from USEC. Can we assume these services are NOT to be provided by Contractor?

Answer: The RFP will be amended to clarify.

35: What role will the contractor play in supporting furnished government services from USEC (i.e. fire services, protective services, emergency response)? The costs for these services currently pass thru BJC. Will the funding pass through the new contractor?

Answer: DOE will provide the USEC services as described in Government Furnished Services or Items as provided in H.19 and an attachment to J. DOE will pay USEC directly for these services.

36: Will the Infrastructure contractor also provide bioassay services for the Remediation contractor?

Answer: No.

37: Upon reviewing WBS in Section J and the Paducah scope of work in Section C.2, there appear to be several discrepancies noted below. Will DOE please provide clarification by either commenting on each item or revising section J and C as appropriate? In C.2.V.A items 1 and 2 are not captured well in the WBS. The other items listed in section C.2.V.A are listed as WBS items. In C.2.V.E items 2.a and 2.b are not captured very well in the WBS. DOE may want to add some sub-items to the WBS. In C.2.V.J item 1 requires laboratory testing services for drug testing. Does this need to be captured in WBS? In C.2.V.J item 3 mentions litigation support. This seems to be covered in WBS M.08. DOE may want to move it from here to item M or add this support to Item J.3. In C.2.V.K items 1, 2, and 3 are not covered by WBS items. In C.2.V.M item 9 is not in WBS. In C.2.V.N item 4 is listed in Paducah Scope of Work but the WBS says it is for Portsmouth only. In C.2.V.N item 5 is not listed on WBS for Paducah.

Answer: An amendment to the solicitation will be issued to revise the WBS.

38: regarding custodial services: Does Subcontractor supply disposables?

Answer: It is not clear what is meant by the term "Subcontractor." The infrastructure contractor is to supply the disposables per the statement of work.

39: regarding custodial services: Normal work hours are 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. Would different hours be acceptable?

Answer: It is not clear whose normal work hours are being referred to in the question. The contractor is required to perform the work in a manner that complies with the RFP and any applicable bargaining agreements.

40: regarding custodial services: When we do floor work such as strip/wax, is this on overtime basis?

Answer: This type of activity is typically performed during hours when buildings are unoccupied. Managing overtime is within the control of the

contractor and is to be accomplished in accordance with applicable labor laws and any applicable collective bargaining agreements.

41: regarding custodial services: Who is responsible for laundry of issued coveralls and will the WFT employees come with their current stock if issued shoes, hand tools, and coveralls?

Answer: It is not clear what is meant by "WFT employees," but shoes, hand tools and coveralls are not government furnished property.

42: regarding custodial services: What is the required restroom cleaning frequency?

Answer: Refer to the RFP statements of work C.1 and C.2, section C.

43: Section C.1, Subsection V, Paragraph B.1 - Surveillance and Maintenance of Facilities Page C-3: Can a list of current Preventative Maintenance schedules be provided? Can you provide a table that lists the size, type and amount of equipment for which PM's are performed? (For example: 50-ton chiller; condenser, air cooled; over 100 tons; etc.) Can square footage of buildings, construction types, and conditions be provided?

Answer: Available information will be posted on the website. See section J attachments C(1) and C(2). In addition, DOE has scheduled additional tours as noted on the website.

44: Section C.1, Subsection V, Paragraph C – Janitorial Services, Page C-4: Can a cleaning matrix of current services be provided for the buildings? Information such as available times for cleaning, frequency, amount of carpet and tile floor, building surfaces, window types and numbers, numbers of restrooms, sinks, etc.

Answer: Available information will be posted on the website, but offerors are reminded that they are to provide services in accordance with the statement of work requirements.

45: Section C.1, Subsection V, Paragraph J.1 – Site Security, Page C-8: Regarding the last sentence in paragraph J.1, Do these services apply only to the drug testing or to the entire paragraph (i.e., will the contractor perform all the identified security functions for the site remediation contractor or just provide drug testing)?

Answer: The contractor will be required to provide all identified security functions for DOE, infrastructure and site remediation contractors. The statement of work section is being revised and an amendment will be issued.

46: Section C.1 & C.2, Subsection V, Paragraph M.5 – Other Activities, Page C-11: Paragraph M.5 states the Contractor shall develop, schedule and conduct employee Mandatory Training for the site personnel other than USEC and, as required, for visitors. It does not specifically state that this training includes remediation contract personnel but does imply this. Some of the identified training (i.e., DOE Orders/work Smart Standard and ISMS) would seem to be driven by programs set up as part of each individual contract. Is the list correct? **If so**, does this mean that the remediation contractor will be required to work under the WSS and ISMS programs established by the IS Contractor? **If not**, will the ES&H, WSS and ISMS programs for the remediation and IS contracts be stand alone or will there be some level of integrations? Please clarify any anticipated relationships.

Answer: Remediation contractor personnel are included within the definition of all site personnel. The list is correct. This does not mean the remediation contractor will be required to work under WSS and ISMS programs established by the infrastructure contractor. The training on WSS and ISMS is to be a general introductory overview of these programs. DOE expects cohesive relationships between contractors.

47: Section C.1, Subsection V, Paragraph N.6 – Other Activities, Page C-13 and Section C.2, Subsection V, Paragraph N.6 – Other Activities, Page C-26: Both of these paragraphs state that the Contractor will provide support to DOE for oversight of USEC for Cold Standby (CSB) activities. Earlier information indicated that only Portsmouth had buildings in CSB. Please clarify if technical support is required for USEC oversight at both sites, and if so, what type of support is specific to each site?

Answer: The RFP will be amended.

48: Page C-19 and C-20, Section C.2.V.F.4, The Contractor's computing and telecommunications system shall be compatible with the computing and telecommunications system utilized by DOE and BJC: Please provide detailed compatibility information for the referenced DOE and BJC computing and telecommunications systems.

Answer: Available information will be posted when available.

49: General: Section C does not identify any interfaces other than with DOE. What other contractors or business entities are involved at each site and how will this infrastructure contractor interface with them?

Answer: Paragraph II of section C.1 and C.2 identifies most of the primary contractors. In addition, Navarro Research Engineering Services is onsite at Portsmouth. The type of interface is set forth in the statement of work.

50: Is the 51% of the labor cost to be performed by the prime by year or is this over the life of the contract, i.e., with variations by year?

Answer: The small business concern shall perform at least 50% of the cost of the contract incurred for personnel with its own employees. Cost of contract performance incurred for personnel is defined as "[d]irect labor costs and any overhead which has only direct labor as its base, plus the concern's General and Administrative rate multiplied by the labor cost." See L.15(n)(2) of RFP. Also see 13 CFR 125.6 for entire text on Limitations on Subcontracting.

51: What level of detail (level of the WBS) should be costed in Volume III?

Answer: Volume III of the proposal should be costed consistent with the RFP and WBS. The RFP is being amended to revise the WBS.

52: Are cost proposals significantly below the funding profile desirable?

Answer: Reasonable, realistic and complete cost proposals in accordance with section L of the RFP are desirable.

53: Past Performance p. L-21 iii. Does this requirement apply to major subcontractors (over \$ 1 million proposed contract value) on the offeror's team?

Answer: Yes, the requirement in L.14(b)(5)(iii) applies to major subcontractors.

54: Reference: Section L.15(c) and (d) Volume III – Cost and Fee Proposal Preparation. Since the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) will and the DOE Financial Plan dictate the funding level the work specified in the Statement of Work (SOW) in Section C and the Work Breakdown Structure per B.2(i) of the model contract, we request that DOE simplify the cost proposal requesting only exempt salary and fringe data, appropriate indirect rates (such as overhead, material / subcontract burden, G&A) and fee. Also, DOE should provide a baseline materials and supplies estimate for use by all offerors.

Answer: The offeror should prepare cost proposals in accordance with the RFP.

55: Reference: Attachment J, Work Breakdown Structure and Model Contract B.2(i) and L.15. Please provide 1) staffing, 2) historical cost data and/or DOE financial plans; and 3) union staff for each element of the Work Breakdown Structure. The current RFP does not provide sufficient data to enable an outside small business to prepare an adequate cost proposal in compliance with L.15 of the RFP. The availability of the staffing, cost data, and union staff data will help to "level the playing field" such that incumbent contractors do not have advantage over non-incumbent contractors want to prime this opportunity.

Answer: Certain staffing and historical cost data is provided in the document posted on the website entitled "Bechtel Jacobs Historical Data" under Reference Documents. DOE is continuing to post documents to the website and is attempting to provide as much information as possible. However, offerors should prepare their cost proposals using their own technical approach and costs.

56: When are past performance questionnaires due?

Is the 3 week after RFP issuance due date for submittal of past performance questionnaires still valid?

Why is the Performance Response due "3 weeks after RFP released by DOE (Nov. 26)? This does not seem reasonable! May consider revising. Remember, offeror is responsible for ensuring submission of questionnaires.

Page L-21, Section L.14(b)5(iv) "The offeror shall provide a Past Performance Questionnaire to its references for completion and request that completed questionnaires be returned directly to the Contracting Officer, as indicated below, no later than three weeks after issuance of the solicitation": Is this three weeks after proposal due date? If not, we request the deadline be set at two weeks prior to proposal due date.

Answer: The solicitation will be amended so that the past performance questionnaires are due by January 7, 2004.

57: Volume II I.14(b)(2), Do we need 5 contract histories for each team member or just the team? Issue impacts the 50 page limit.

Answer: The RFP at L.14(b)(2) states "up to five contracts completed within the last three years or currently ongoing which include work similar in size, scope and complexity to that in the statement of work, for the offeror and, if any, its members in a joint venture and/or LLC and major subcontractors." Five is the maximum number that may be provided for each of those entities.

58: On Volume II, Section L14/B-5 paragraph (i) talks about submitting a questionnaire with backups as per paragraph (vii) such as: A) copy of OSHA Logs #200; B) TRC and Lost Workday Case; C) IMR for the last 3 years. Does this information count towards the 50 page limit requirement?

L.14 (5) Past Performance L-22 (vii), We typically record this information on a composite-level and not by individual contract, can we provide this information at the overall company-level?

Page L-22, Section L.14(b)(5)(vii) "For contracts performed within the last three years, or currently being performed, provide the following information: OSHA logs, TRC and LWC, IMR": We request that this information be submitted outside the page count.

Answer: L.14 (b)(5)(vii) will be amended to read: “For contracts performed within the last three years, or currently being performed, provide a summary, by year, including: the OSHA #200 reportable statistics, the total recordable and lost workday case rate, and the insurance modifier rate.” The solicitation will be amended to reflect this change. This information will continue to be included with the 50 page limitation.

59: How detail should the cost proposal be to incorporate the WBS with requirements from L.15.C.1?

Answer: The RFP will be amended to incorporate a revised WBS. Offerors should prepare their cost proposals in accordance with the solicitation instructions and any amendments.

60: Can the government provide a template for the cost table?

Answer: No. Offerors rate structures are different so one format will not fit everyone.

61: Are tabs included in ‘the page count’?

Answer: No; however, offerors may not include any material that is to be evaluated on these pages.

62: RFP page L-21, (5)i, iv: There is no maximum number of Past Performance Questionnaires requested. Will DOE consider setting a maximum of 5 per Offeror/Team? Can the due date for Questionnaires be extended past December 17?

Answer: Offerors may submit as many or as few as they believe is representative of their experience. The RFP is being amended to reduce the period from five to three years and extend the questionnaire due date.

63: Page L-14, Section L.12 (f) (1): Do 11x17 sheets count as one or two pages with respect to the 50 page limit?

Answer: The 11X17 inch sheets will count as one page and the RFP will be amended to so reflect; however, in accordance with L.12(f)(1) these pages may only be utilized for spreadsheet, charts, tables, diagrams or design drawings. The font size for 11X17 inch sheets must be no smaller than eight.

64: Page L-14, Section L.12 (f) (3): Second section of this section reads “Page counting will begin with the first page of each volume of the proposal”. Given the page count exceptions listed in Section L.12 (g), shouldn’t the page count for Volume II begin after items (1), (2), (3), and (4) as described in L.12 (g) as appropriate?

Answer: For pages that fit the criteria for exclusion from the count should either have a footer stating “excluded from page limitation” or be numbered with a roman numeral. All other pages should be numbered sequentially starting with one. The RFP will be amended to clarify.

65: Page L-25, Section L.15, (d) (1), Item 13: Sub item (g) is missing from the list? Is this an oversight?

Answer: Yes. The RFP will be amended to renumber the section.

66: Page L-25 & L-26, Section L.15 (d) (1) & (2): Why is Item 16 called out specifically for Portsmouth – generally boiler systems are considered part of the S&M of facilities. Also, is there no boiler system at Paducah – or is it being operated by others?

Answer: The boiler system at Portsmouth is a part of the infrastructure statement of work while the boiler system at Paducah is not.

67: Section L.15, – Volume III Cost and Fee Proposal Preparation Instructions, Paragraph (d), Page L-24: Paragraph (d) states costs to be provided for major activities specified in the Statement of Work, 16 major activities for Portsmouth and 15 major activities for Paducah. Section L.15 Paragraph (c)(1) on page I-22, states the cost breakdown should correlate with the WBS found in Section J, Attachment 1, which has 16 major activities and 165 sub-activities for Portsmouth and 15 major activities and 158 sub-activities for Paducah. Can we assume that the cost volume should be at the major activity level (15 and 16) and not broken down to 165 sub-activities?

Answer: The RFP is being amended to incorporate a revised WBS. The cost proposal should be prepared in accordance with level 2 of the new WBS. Using the revised WBS, there are 16 major activities and 62 sub-activities at Portsmouth and 15 major activities and 64 sub-activities at Paducah.

68: Page M-3, Section M.2 Paragraph 4 “Subfactors under technical/business management approach are: Work planning, management and execution approach Key Personnel”: We presume that work planning, management and executive approach is one subfactor element and Key Personnel is the other.

Answer: “Work planning, management and execution approach” is one subfactor and “Key personnel” is the other.

