

137: Section J attachment I WBS for Paducah. WBS item J.01 includes subsections for classification and declassification. Item J.02 is for the classification officer and staff whose duties would be to perform classification and declassification. Please clarify, or perhaps remove the classification and declassification from WBS J.01.

Answer: The solicitation has been amended to incorporate a revised WBS.

138: Are there any mandatory HUB-Zone, 8-A, service-disabled veteran, Vietnam era veterans, etc. goals for the prime contractor to utilize in choosing sub-contractors to help complete this project? If so what are the percentages? Any preference for local contractors?

Answer: Since this procurement is a small business set-aside, there are no mandatory small business goals. The solicitation does not state a preference for "local" contractors.

139: Considering the current probable overlap of Infrastructure with BJC continued operations, will it be necessary to duplicate business type systems & equipment (other than payroll & accounting) or will existing equipment & systems owned by DOE be available to the infrastructure contractor?

Answer: There is no planned overlap of services between BJC and the infrastructure contractor. Equipment and systems will provided to the infrastructure contractor in accordance with solicitation section J attachments concerning government furnished property and government furnished services and items when the infrastructure contractor assumes responsibility for the work as stated in the contract.

140: What is the plan for disposition of the LEU cylinders, not included in the DUF6 processing scope?

Answer: LEU cylinder disposition activities are not included in the infrastructure statements of work.

141: I need a list of the meeting attendee's from the meeting at the information age park on Dec. 06, 2003. Can you please send this to me if you have access to it?

Answer: The only meeting held at Information Age Park relative to this procurement was held on December 8, 2003. A list from this meeting is posted on the website.

142: Since the caps are under the surveillance and maintenance program, are the associated groundwater monitoring wells also under the surveillance and maintenance program?

Answer: The statements of work for the infrastructure contracts include surveillance and maintenance only for those facilities designated as infrastructure under the surveillance and maintenance column of attachments C(1) and C(2) to Section J.

143: Is repair of computers included in the infrastructure statements of work?

Answer: Computer troubleshooting and repair is included in the infrastructure statements of work. The solicitation has been amended.

144: Please clarify the following questions on L.14(b)(5) Past Performance:

a) Does DOE request Past Performance Questionnaires from only the Offeror's contracting officers as stated in L.14(b)(5)(iv) or does DOE also request the Offeror to complete questionnaires on their identified applicable contracts [L.14(b)(5)(i)]?

b) Since DOE requests the Offeror's OSHA Form 200 or equivalent logs for contracts performed within the past three years or currently on-going and these forms have a standardized format, will the forms count towards the page count of Volume 2?

Answer: a) In accordance with L.14(b)(5)(iv) the "offeror shall provide a Past Performance Questionnaire to its references for completion and request that completed questionnaires be returned directly to the Contracting Officer." Regarding L.14(b)(5)(i), the offeror is not required to fill out a questionnaire(s) on itself. The requirement to submit completed Past Performance questionnaires is to be complied with by providing past performance questionnaires to its references for completion in accordance with L.14(b)(5)(iv). The offeror should, however, include in its proposal for this section, information sufficient for the DOE to determine whether the contracts for which past performance questionnaires have been provided are/were similar in type, size, scope, and complexity of risk.

b) The RFP has been amended to require that a summary be submitted rather than copies of documents. See Amendment 0002. The page limitations or exclusions from those limits will not be revised.

145: Would there be a way to obtain a list of the contractors that are bidding on this RFP? I would like to submit our name for any possible roofing work at the Ports Site.

Would it be possible to get a list of Prospective Offerors to whom you sent the RFP along with addresses and points of contact, if available?

Answer: Lists of the companies represented at the preproposal conference and the site tours have been posted.

146: Is all of the water treated B-4 runoff in the cylinder storage yards?

Answer: This question is not relevant to work under the infrastructure solicitation.

147: Is the U-Landfill operation in the IS RFP?

Answer: No.

148: In your previous answer to Question #50, you state that the small business concern shall perform at least 50% of the cost of the contract incurred for personnel with its own employees. If the proposed Prime Contractor was a small business concern and only proposed, for example, to have 20% of the cost of the contract incurred for personnel with its own employees, but that more than 50% of the cost of the contract incurred for personnel involved the Prime Contract and other small business subcontractors, would such qualify as being fully responsive to the small business requirement of the Solicitation (assuming "bundling" of the small businesses involved did not exceed the maximum for qualifying as a small business)?

Answer: The question is not clear. However, the offeror must be a small business concern in order to receive the award. Additionally, the offeror shall comply with the Limitations of Subcontracting Clause, FAR 52.219-14, by performing at least 50 percent of the costs of the contract incurred for personnel with its own employees. See L.15(n)(2).

149: Could the SEB post Word versions of forms such as the SF33 and reps and certs so that they could be filled out electronically?

Answer: DOE will post Word versions of the SF33 and the Representations and Certifications (section K of the solicitation).

150: What is the current budget for infrastructure activities?

Answer: The funding shown in B.3 of the solicitation represents the funding available for infrastructure activities specified in the solicitation.

151: Will we have access/use of GSA vehicles or must we supply our own fleet?

Answer: DOE prime contractors will have access/use of GSA vehicles.

152: Will a waste sorting and segregation facility be provided for sanitary waste collected inside the security fence at the Paducah Site for use by the Infrastructure Contractor or is all waste of this nature anticipated to be non-radiological (i.e., not requiring sorting and/or processing for radiological concerns)?

Where will waste sorting activities be conducted at the Paducah site?

Answer: A waste sorting and segregation facility will not be provided since sanitary waste is anticipated to be non-radiological; however, random radiological monitoring is anticipated to be necessary as verification of the non-radiological release of the waste from inside the security fence.

153: Acquisition of Infrastructure Services Tour handout shows a photograph of the C-755 complex with the caption "DOE Materials Storage Areas Field Offices." Currently, this complex houses DOE Subcontractors not associated with DMSAs. Will the Infrastructure Services contractor be able to use this facility?

Answer: The solicitation will be amended to provide for use of portions of the C-755 complex by the infrastructure contractor.

154. What make and model is the Recirculating Hot Water Boiler at Portsmouth GDP?

Answer: The boiler system consists of two package fire tube hot water boilers "509 series" manufactured by Johnston Boiler Company. Each of the boilers is rated at 2000 Boiler Horsepower.

155. DOE has provided the potential contractors with a listing of current BJC subcontractors providing services to the Paducah and Portsmouth Sites. However, from this listing the potential contractors cannot determine the historical level of effort that these subcontractor have provided to support infrastructure activities. Could DOE provide the level of effort in Dollars and/or FTE's?

Answer: Subcontractor FTEs excluding USEC are included in the total FTEs posted under BJC Historical Data. DOE wants the offeror to propose its own number of FTEs and its own costs necessary for performance, not those of another contractor.

156. Does the FTE count of Bechtel Jacobs in the historical background include subcontractor employees?

Answer: Yes, excluding any work done by USEC.

157: The Bechtel Jacobs Historical Data, obtained from the Infrastructure and Remediation (Shared) Reference Documents, provides certain staffing and cost historical data. In some cases it is not clear if the data provided pertains to only the infrastructure contract. For example, the historical data shows 19 FTEs for engineering services at the Paducah Site, 67.1 FTEs at the Portsmouth Site, and notes that the level of effort is for all BJC work at the site. We understand that DOE provides SOW paragraph C. 1.V.M.7 and C.2.V.M that describes Engineering Services. However the scope of engineering services is not defined other than a broad statement. Please provide more detail regarding engineering services expected under the infrastructure contract.

Answer: This question has been answered previously in Questions and Answers #6, question 117.

158: To what extent will the Portsmouth Infrastructure Contractor be involved in potentially reuseable uranium materials from various DOE and other sites (from Fernald, Hanford, universities, etc.)?

Answer: No direct involvement in potentially reusable uranium materials from various DOE and other sites is included in the infrastructure statements of work.

159: Is Rad Worker Training required for any work outside of the plant fence or boundary?

Answer: No.

160: In Section J Attachments C(1) and C(2) of the RFP, the abbreviations REM and INF are used. Does REM mean "remediation contractor" and INF mean "infrastructure contractor"?

Answer: Yes.

161: Page L-17, Section (b)(4), Additional Information, states that “Copies or drafts of joint venture and/or LLC agreements...regarding the extent and nature of the work to be performed should be provided.” If the LLC consists of a single company, is this information required?

Answer: No; however, the offeror should identify that the LLC (offeror) consists of the single company.