

215: Will the infrastructure contractor be responsible for computing and telecommunications support for the remediation contractor, including desktop support and computer repairs? The computer and network repair is being done by PACE at this time.

Answer: The infrastructure contractor is responsible for establishing the Local Area Networks, Wide Area Network, and T-1 lines (including those to support the remediation contractor) and desktop support for all LAN and WAN users. USEC will provide telephone service. The remediation contractor is responsible for computer repairs and peripheral telephone activities related to its work. The solicitation will be amended.

216: The SOW at C.1.V.F and C.2.V.F requires the Contractor to provide, maintain and repair the site-wide satellite and radio communications systems, secure external communications capabilities, telephone system, T-1 data lines, computer LAN in support of the DOE onsite office and infrastructure activities. We have reviewed Section J, Attachments C(1), C(2), D(1), and D(2) and do not find equipment or facilities to support this function. We also could not find specific details for the requirement such as type of equipment, number of stations, number of antennas, frequencies, etc. Please clarify this requirement.

Answer: For both Portsmouth and Paducah, USEC holds the license for the new narrow band frequencies being utilized. USEC provides use of the frequency, tower, as well as transmission and radio repair service. There are 61 radios at Portsmouth that are DOE property and will be provided for infrastructure contractor use. At Portsmouth, radios are Ericsson GE PCS series, Ericsson GE MP11 series, and Ericsson Panther series. The Panther series radios are the newest at three years old. The PCS and MP11 radios are in excess of 6 years old. At Paducah, there are 60 radios that are DOE property provided for infrastructure contractor use. At Paducah, most of the radios are EDACS Enhanced Access Communication Systems (LPE-200) portable 800MHz. At both the Portsmouth and Paducah Sites, the subcontractors are responsible for providing their own radios meeting the narrow band frequencies and subject to USEC guidelines and oversight. The infrastructure contractors will not be responsible for providing, maintaining and repairing the site-wide satellite and radio communications system. The infrastructure contractor at Portsmouth shall be responsible to maintain, operate and repair the DOE satellite television receiver as well as managing its own radios. At Paducah, no satellite related activities will be performed; however, the infrastructure contractor will be responsible for management of its own radios. The solicitation will be amended to reflect a revision to the statements of work.

217: At Paducah will USEC continue to administer the telephone switch and computer network equipment within the security fence as part of MOU negotiated by DOE?

The telephone system is listed as USEC controlled equipment at Portsmouth, but no information is given for the telephone system at Paducah nor is it listed in Government furnished property. Who owns this equipment, and is currently maintaining it?

Answer: Currently, the Portsmouth and Paducah computer networks, excluding that for USEC, consist of two Local Area Networks (LANs). The first LAN is for BJC/DOE and a few selected subcontractors and the second is for the remaining BJC subcontractors. The BJC/DOE LAN is connected to Oak Ridge, Tennessee for service via T-1 lines and is dependent on hardware and software in Oak Ridge, Tennessee for operation. At the present time only switches and routers are located at Portsmouth and Paducah. The print, email, and file servers for all major systems and data bases are currently located at Oak Ridge. The BJC subcontractor LAN is connected to a local Internet Service Provider (ISP). The maintenance of the two LANs is currently being performed by BJC subcontractors.

The infrastructure contractors at Portsmouth and Paducah shall have responsibility to establish the two current LANs as separate and independent from Oak Ridge and add another LAN for use by subcontractors who do not need to be connected to the T-1 lines. The T-1 line coming into each site shall be routed to each of the current LANs for connectivity of both to the WAN. The system at a minimum should be established to allow separation of multiple networks. The infrastructure contractor shall provide maintenance and support as necessary to maintain the LANs and the WAN with reliable, ongoing connectivity for DOE Lexington as well as Portsmouth and Paducah, the infrastructure contractor, and the remediation contractor personnel. The infrastructure contractor shall also provide basic operating software for the LAN and WAN including Windows 2000, and other programs as necessary to support general site operations, and provide data input to DOE data systems. Sufficient server backup shall also be provided. The solicitation will be amended to reflect this revision to the statements of work.

In addition to the LANs, the Portsmouth infrastructure contractor shall establish a wide area network (WAN) including the existing T-1 line between Portsmouth and Paducah (currently established to BJC/DOE) and a new T-1 line to Lexington PPPO offices from each site. The Portsmouth infrastructure contractor shall also perform the "help desk" computer support for DOE at the Lexington PPPO office, and the Portsmouth and Paducah Site infrastructure contractors will perform "help

desk” support at their respective sites for the DOE office at the site as well as for their own personnel and the remediation contractor.

Both the Portsmouth and Paducah telephone switches are leased to USEC. The local telephone systems are Horizon at Portsmouth and Bell South at Paducah. The infrastructure contractor will maintain only peripheral activities related to the telephone system (i.e., individual phone unit replacements, and working with USEC for moving office phone numbers). The solicitation will be amended to revise the requirements.

218: Are Radiation mowing zones to be identified at Portsmouth and Paducah and which contractual entity will be responsible for this task?

Answer: Grounds maintenance, including mowing in Contamination Control Areas (CCAs) is within scope to the infrastructure contractors at both sites. Currently, all known CCAs at Portsmouth are leased to and maintained by USEC. However, grounds maintenance in CCAs could be required during the contract period of performance. Do not include costs for CCA mowing in cost proposals for Portsmouth. CCAs are not specially designated on the maps, but are estimated at five percent of the area to be mowed at Paducah. Mowing in these zones will require work under a Radiation Work Permit (RWP). The contractor who is assigned operational responsibility in the infrastructure solicitation, Section J attachments C(1) and C(2) (either “INF” or “REM”) is responsible for the developing the RWP.

219: Regarding custodial services: Will the work control maintenance database be provided to the selected subcontractor?

Answer: (partial correction of answers given in #124 and #126)
The CIDS database at Paducah is used to track DUF₆ cylinders, rather than work control maintenance. This information will not be needed by the infrastructure contractor because the related work is not included in the infrastructure statement of work. The infrastructure contractors should continue to assume that any work control databases will not be provided.

220: For Portsmouth – How many FTEs in each of the specific areas broken down in the “Bechtel Jacobs Historical data” are “Q” and “L” cleared, respectively? We fully appreciate that the staffing set forth in the “Bechtel Jacobs Historical Data” is not necessarily applicable to the infrastructure contract – but knowing the answer to the foregoing questions is vital to an understanding of the general order or magnitude of clearance requirements.

Answer: It is not feasible to break down the Q and L cleared personnel in the manner you are asking. However, the following statistics for BJC and its subcontractors are available for the scope of work contained in the solicitation. For Portsmouth, there are 24 BJC and 51 subcontract personnel with Q clearances and 90 BJC and 321 subcontract (including leased) personnel with L clearances. For Paducah, there are 58 BJC and 139 subcontract personnel with Q and 80 BJC and 348 subcontract personnel with L clearances.

221: Will DOE require the Remediation Contractor to use the Computer and Telecom Networks established by the Infrastructure Contract or will they be required to furnish their own?

Answer: Telephone services will be provided as GFSI by DOE through USEC. The remediation contractors will maintain only peripheral activities related to the telephone system (i.e., individual phone unit replacements, and working with USEC for moving office phone numbers). The computer T-1 lines comprising the Wide Area Network (WANs) will be maintained by the infrastructure contractors and a portion of the capacity of the T-1 lines will be available to the remediation contractor at each site. The Local Area Network will be established and maintained by the infrastructure contractor at each site, in addition to provision of help desk support; however, each remediation contractor will be required to provide its own computer repairs. The solicitation will be amended to include telephone services on the GFSI listing and revise the statements of work.

222: RFP Section L defines a major subcontractor as "\$1 million per year" and "\$1 million." Can we assume they both mean \$1 million per fiscal year in subcontract value?

Answer: The definition means \$1 million average per contract year during the term of the contract.

223: Can a current USEC employee participate in the MEPP if hired by the new contractor?

Answer: An individual, including an employee of USEC, may participate in the Multiple Employer Pension Plan (MEPP), if the person is currently permitted by the terms and conditions of the MEPP to become a participant in the MEPP. The solicitation language is not changing the terms and conditions of the plan regarding the rights of individuals under the MEPP who have the right to participate in the MEPP. However, it is also not the intent of the DOE to authorize in this solicitation the changing

of the MEPP's terms and conditions to authorize participation of persons other than those currently permitted to participate in accordance with the MEPP's terms and conditions.