

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

144. Will the provisions of Section H related to work force transition flow to any or all lower tier sub-contractors?

Answer: The clause contains requirements that are applicable to subcontractors. The successful offeror (new contractor) is contractually required to ensure that its subcontractors meet the requirements stated in the clause. Further, the contractor is responsible for ensuring that the proper contractual mechanisms are contained in its subcontracts to ensure compliance with the requirements.

145. Page C.2-15 and 16 of 32; C.2.5.1 General Information (Uranium Management); [...An estimated 4,500 metric tons of uranium material was received at Portsmouth from other... This uranium material is in designated storage locations within the X-744G building. The scope for a) through e) with respect to X744G is not included in any PBS?

Answer: The PBSs are written at a high level to cover a broad scope of activities. The activities in the SOW for this solicitation are funded activities and are included in the PBSs. The offeror should structure their proposal to include all of the work scope into the existing PBS structure. The uranium management should be included in PO-0011.

146. Page C.2-16 of 32; C.2.6. Onsite Disposal Cell Planning; The scope is not included in any PBS?

Answer: The PBSs are written at a high level to cover a broad scope of activities. The activities in the SOW for this solicitation are funded activities and are included in the PBSs. The offeror should structure their proposal to include all of the work scope into the existing PBS structure. The onsite disposal cell should be included in PO-0041.

147. Page C.2-16 of 32; C.2.7 Project Support; The scope is not included in any PBS?

Answer: The PBSs are written at a high level to cover a broad scope of activities. The activities in the SOW for this solicitation are funded activities and are included in the PBSs. The offeror should structure their proposal to include all of the work scope into the existing PBS structure. Project Support may be distributed across multiple PBSs.

148. What date was the last 3161 work force transition plan at Portsmouth updated and how can a copy be accessed?

Answer: The last approved Work Force Restructuring Plan for Portsmouth was dated October 14, 1997. A copy may be requested from the DOE Environmental Information Center (740) 289-3317. The October 14, 1997 Work Force Transition Plan for Portsmouth will be posted to the Remediation Web Site when it is available. The draft Work Force Restructuring Plan will be posted to the Remediation Web Site when it is available.

149. [Portsmouth] Is there an inventory of materials scheduled for disposition from GCEP facilities?

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

Answer: There is an inventory for the south half of the X-3001. The inventory for the north half of X-3001 is not available.

150. Exhibit C.2.2.2, which contains a listing of Legacy Waste by Waste Stream, has two columns, one for Status, and one for Early Start and Early Finish. Are these contractual or regulatory dates that the contractor is required to meet? What is the significance of these dates?

Answer: Early Start and Early Finish dates are not regulatory or contractual dates. The identified Early Start and Early Finish dates are for information only, and relate to the current contractor's baseline schedule. Offerors are reminded to plan and schedule all activities in accordance with their own proposed approach to the work.

151. [Paducah] Please define the safeguards & security responsibility relationships among the Remediation Contractor, the Infrastructure Contractor, USEC provided security services and DOE. The RFP states that fee will be partially determined by the Remediation Contractor's compliance for the protection of RD and other classified information. However, the majority of safeguards and security functions are performed by USEC or the Infrastructure contractor. Please describe what oversight responsibilities the Remediation Contractor may provide over the USEC service providers or the Infrastructure Contractor to ensure fee for the Remediation Contractor is not at risk.

Answer: The Remediation contractor has the responsibility to safeguard and control sensitive data/equipment/materials in its possession. The Remediation contractor has no "oversight responsibilities" for USEC security personnel. Conditional payment of fee applies to the Remediation contractor's activities and actions or lack thereof, for its own work scope.

152. [Paducah] Several of the Characterization Reports provided on the web site indicate that waste is classified into one of several categories, including D&D materials for which disposition is deferred and Reusable items. Are we to disposition these D&D materials and Reusable items as part of our scope? It appears that the volumes associated with these materials are included in the volume of waste to disposition? Please clarify.

Answer: The contractor is to disposition wastes to the extent stated in the SOW. The items as described in the question as "D&D materials and reusable items" are included as a part of the scope and should be dispositioned as stated in the RFP. The volumes associated with these materials are included in the volume of waste to be dispositioned.

153. C.2 page 13 of 32; C.2.3.3 The PCB's are considered Legacy type wastes and include any that is in USEC Leased space/facilities as well. The DOE Legacy Waste Agreement with USEC needs to be provided if it is not already.

Answer: Agree. PCB waste is considered legacy waste, per the "Legacy Waste Agreement" between DOE and USEC and shall be dispositioned by the Remediation

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

contractor. The DOE Legacy Waste Agreement with USEC will be added to Exhibit C.1.0.3, Section C.1.7 and Exhibit C.2.0.3, Section C.2.3.3 Reference Documents in amendment 0002. The document is posted on the Remediation Web Site.

154. It is our understanding that there is an expectation that one group will roll up all site DOE contractor information and reporting. Is this correct?

Answer: Your understanding is only partially correct. There are consolidated reports, but the responsibility to consolidate does not rest with one contractor. For example, the Remediation contractor will provide integrated reporting for environmental monitoring and a Site Lifecycle Baseline using information provided by other entities/contractor(s)/or the Contracting Officer. The reports developed using/requiring integrated information are indicated in Section J, Attachments 4.1 and 4.2.

155. [Paducah] It would appear that the RFP volume is inconsistent with the Characterization Report volumes. For example: Amendment 001 indicates that the volume of waste in [DMSA] OS-13 is 1,825 ft<sup>3</sup>. The Characterization Report indicates that there are 348.8 ft<sup>3</sup> of LLW and that the balance of the material in the DMSA is a reusable roll-off bin. Amendment 001 indicates that the volume of waste in OS-18 is 16,659, yet the characterization report indicates that the DMSA is empty and the waste has been dispositioned at the C-746-U landfill. Please clarify.

Answer: The DMSA volume provided in the RFP and in amendment 0001 is the estimated volume of material in the DMSA and is not necessarily the remaining volume of material remaining to be dispositioned. The disposition activities are ongoing and the DMSA volumes may change. All completed Characterization Reports have been posted to the Remediation Web Site and provide the quantity of material that has been dispositioned. The following quantities of material (FT<sup>3</sup>) have already been dispositioned to the C-746-U Landfill from the DMSAs, as of 2/16/04:

DMSA	FT <sup>3</sup>
C-310-02	1.0
C-333-43	7.0
OS-02	8547
OS-03	362
OS-05	4780
OS-06	20773
OS-07	5235
OS-09	6955
OS-11	122
OS-12	7402
OS-13	385
OS-16	6780
OS-18	15803

156. Regarding Exhibit C.2.2.2 Legacy Waste Type/Waste Stream/Quantity, what are

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

the units for Volume and Weight?

Answer: Cubic meters and Kilograms

157. Will the contractor perform fleet management or FIMS functions?

Answer: The Remediation contractor will manage all vehicles and equipment assigned on the accountable property list(s) per SOW Section C.1.9.5 and/or C.2.7.5. The Remediation contractor will provide information to the Infrastructure contractor as stated in Section J. Attachments 4.1 item 48 and Attachment 4.2 item 48.

158. [Portsmouth] Please provide copies of the two NFS subcontracts with Bechtel Jacobs to provide interim storage of HEU material in Erwin, Tennessee and to complete sampling, analysis and bench testing and preliminary design for installation, startup, material processing and waste disposition of HEU materials currently stored at NFS (Subcontract References - 23900-SC-SM292F and 23900-SC-EF008F).

Answer: The SOWs for the two NFS subcontracts will be posted to the Remediation Web Site when available.

159. Post attendee information on the web site with information, name, phone, etc.

Answer: Attendance information will be posted to the Remediation Web Site consistent with the Portsmouth Paducah Remediation RFP Site Tours information sheet (i.e., only company name and address will be posted).

160. I just downloaded the attendance list and there are no contacts listed for the various companies. The info on the web indicated there would be a list of attendees (ie people) and their companies and addresses. For those of us that are small businesses trying to send information to companies, we would appreciate a list of the personnel that attended, which would help us and should help DOE get a better project in the end.

Answer: Attendance information will be posted to the Remediation Web Site consistent with the Portsmouth Paducah Remediation RFP Site Tours information sheet (i.e., only company name and address will be posted).

161. Section C.1.1.1.1 of the RFP states a contributor or probable contributor to contamination to the Northwest and Northeast plumes is "1) the C-400 Decontamination Facility (SWMU 11) whose operations have been found to be the major cause of the TCE contamination on site." The scope in section C.1.1.1.2 item a) states that a system will be installed and operated "to control the TCE source of the Northwest and Northeast plumes." Please clarify that the scope related to C-400 is focused on SWMU 11 only or that it includes additional potential sources near or under the C-400 building.

Answer: The scope in C.1.1.1.2.a) is to cleanup the source of the Northwest and Northeast plumes. This may include more than the C-400 as identified in C.1.1.1.1. For

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

the purpose of proposal preparation, the cleanup level shall be consistent with the Treatability Study, however, offerors are reminded that the actual cleanup level will be as defined in the Final Record of Decision.”

162. H-18 of 21; H.18 (f)(C)(2); (B) Pension Plans -- The contractor shall become a participating/sponsor employer in the BJC MEPP as soon as possible but no later than ninety days after award. Incumbent grandfathered employees will be credited for their prior service under the plan and for their service under this contract. The contractor shall enter into an administrative service agreement with BJC regarding the administrative services and the cost thereof to be provided by BJC. ....(C) Other Benefit Plans -- For incumbent grandfathered employees, the contractor shall become a participating/sponsoring employer in the current BJC Multiple Employee Welfare Arrangement (MEWA) as soon as possible but no later than ninety days after award. The contractor shall also enter into an administrative service agreement with BJC regarding the administrative services and the cost thereof to be provided by BJC. What are the per employee BJC administrative costs to administer the MEPP and the MEWA? What is the annual contribution cost per employee of the BJC MEPP and MEWA?

Answer: The information has been posted to the Remediation Web Site, under Shared Documents.

163. Reference number 8 in Amendment 0002 dated February 23, 2004 significantly accelerates the milestones for disposition of all scrap metal to June 2005 and significantly accelerates the submittal of the Project Completion Report to December 2005. This appears to be in conflict with the information DOE posted on the Scrap Metal subcontract (see Cost Data for the DOE directed subcontracts for assumption -- Posted 02/19/2004) that shows significant work continuing in 2006 and 2007. Please clarify.

Answer: The RFP milestone dates for disposition of the scrap metal are the same dates as in the subcontractor developed Removal Action Work Plan (complete 6/30/05; report submittal in December 2005) and are the correct dates for this subcontract work scope. Additional information on the subcontract dates and funding chart will be posted to the Remediation Web Site when available.

164. In each of the RFP's, the work is broken up under various PBS's. 1) Is the associated Project Support costs, to be allocated back to the various PBS's? 2) Will there be one PBS identified to take all of the costs associated with the Project Support WBS for each of the contracts? 3) What is the proposed cost reporting structure to the COR? By PBS, by WBS, or a combination? 4) Which contract is responsible for reporting to the COR for all of the activities at the contract level, i.e. USEC, Uranium Disposition Services LLC, Infrastructure Services, Environmental Remediation Services, etc. In the Environmental Remediation Services RFP Section C.2, on page 17 of 32, C.2.7.1, d) it states "Maintain a Site Life Cycle Baseline for all PBS activities associated with the site (i.e., Infrastructure, UDS, USEC, DOE directs, etc.)" are we to assume that the Environmental Remediation Services (ERS) contract will integrate all of the monthly reporting in the questions 1,2,3 above. Will the ERS contractor be able to define the

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

reporting systems to be used by the other contractors in support of monthly reporting?

Answer: 1) Direct Project Support costs related to a WBS should be accounted for within the WBS. Project Support costs not directly related to a WBS should be accounted for in WBS C.1.9. and C.2.7. in the Paducah and Portsmouth Remediation SOWs, respectively. 2) See answer to #1. 3) Costs should be reported by WBS as stated in Section H.1.3 of the solicitation. 4) Contractors are responsible to report information related to their own contracts. The requirements relating to the Sitewide Integrated Baseline are to develop and maintain the information. The contracts dictate any reporting system requirements, not the Remediation contractor.

165. [Paducah] The contractor shall assume the existing metals disposition subcontract and shall include the cost of this subcontract in the project cost estimate. Please provide the cost data that shall be included in offerors cost estimate for this subcontracted activity, by fiscal year. ( FY04 thru FY09).

Answer: Original award price (NTE) plus modifications- \$21.8M; Total spent to date- \$6.4M (estimate to complete FY04 \$1.1M); FY05 estimate- \$8.2M; FY06 estimate \$5.1M; FY07 estimate- \$1M; Contract end with all available options 6/30/06.

166. Can DOE provide an electronic copy of the X-701B SWMU Decision Document, dated December 8, 2003?

Answer: A copy of the document was posted to the Remediation Web Site on 2/6/04.

167. Section C.2.2 Waste Management - Milestones/Schedule call for "Disposition all STP Legacy Waste. In this context, what is the definition of "disposition"? Can the waste be shipped off-site to a treatment/disposal Facility or does it means disposed in a landfill and/or incinerated?

Answer: Disposition means the waste must be removed from the site and in its final resting place as defined in the Waste Disposal Strategy of the Site Management Plan. This can include, but is not limited to, treatment in conjunction with disposal to a government disposal facility; disposal to a permitted commercial disposal facility; disposal of sanitary or construction debris; or incineration, all of which must be within the waste acceptance criteria of a licensed and approved facility.

168. Clause H.18 (f) (2) (B) and (C) call for the contractor to become a participant/sponsor in certain benefit plans administered by BJC. a. What “administrative services” are expected to be performed by BJC? b. Which administrative activities are expected to be performed by the contractor? c. What is the anticipated basis for costs to be charged by BJC? d. Is it anticipated that prospective contractors will negotiate an administrative services agreement with BJC prior to contract award?

Answer: The requested information has been posted to the Remediation Web Site. Regarding the answer to part (d) of the question: “The administrative services agreement

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

will be negotiated/entered into after award by the contractor. Sample agreements have been posted to the Website for informational purposes only.”

169. Reference is made to the work to be performed in Section C.1.3.1.2, for D&D of C-410/420 Complex which states that "...Sector II (Zones 33-38 and 40-44)....: Zones 34 and 35 are not identified in the Remedial Action Work Plan for C-410 (DOE/OR/07-2012&D2). Do these zones exist, and if so, what is the scope? Likewise for Zone 53 which is also not identified.

Answer: Zones 34 and 35: Zones 34 and 35 are open, hallway type areas, with Zone 34 to the north and Zone 35 to the south. They provide an open passageway from the south side of the facility to the north side of the facility. The east side of Zone 35 is bounded by areas that were used for offices and lab facilities. The east side of Zone 34 borders the former control room. The west side of both zones face the HF Reactor area. These two zones do not include significant installed equipment or process systems; however, as they are essentially open space, stored equipment and materials from both the C-410 Complex and other areas of the Paducah Plant have accumulated.

Zone 53: Zone 53 is the transformer basement, located under Zones 34 and 35. It is bounded by column line G to the west, column line J to the east, and column lines 1 and 11 to the south and north, respectively. The entry to this zone is visible from Photo 26AB of the "Pit" area of Zone 26 in the iPIX photographs. Zone 53 contains the transformer and power distribution equipment that provided power to the C-410 complex, with the exception of the fluorine cell rooms. This power distribution equipment is damaged beyond repair, as a result of water flooding that occurred in 2001 from a water line rupture in the building. The water has been removed and disposed. The equipment was evaluated and determined to be not repairable.

170. I am looking for a copy of the following reference documents listed in Section C of the Paducah Remediation RFP-C.1.2.1: Scrap Metal Reference Documents: 1. DOE/OR/07-2013&D2: Removal Action Work Plan for Scrap Metal Disposition; 2. Contract Number: 23900-SC-RM268F: Scrap Metal Removal and Disposition Contract.

Answer: 1. This document has been posted to the Remediation Web Site. 2. The scope of work for the scrap metal contract and the cost information at the summary level has been posted to the Remediation Web Site.

171. Section L.17.b.II: Unlike all other sections in Volume II, no page limitation is specified for the Key Personnel section. The instructions state that three 2-page resumes shall be provided. Since no section page limit is specified, may we include additional pages in the section to provide information on other personnel.

Answer: No. The page limitation for Key Personnel is limited to the stated page limits for the resumes of the proposed Key Personnel.

172. Will the ER Services contractor have to pay USEC or DOE a fee for site fire

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

protection and emergency response services??

Answer: The Remediation contractor will be provided the service and DOE will pay the costs directly to USEC.

173. Section L.18.d: On similar procurements, DOE often provides the complete detailed cost estimate data used to produce the Government's WBS and life cycle baseline. These data would be extremely valuable to offerors while estimating costs correlated with the SOW and WBS, and ensure that DOE receives realistic and reasonable cost estimates required in Volume III. Will DOE provide the complete, detailed cost estimate data for the Portsmouth and Paducah life cycle baselines?

Answer: The DOE has provided the current life cycle baseline and anticipated funding profile for the RFP. The DOE will not provide detailed cost information/cost estimates. It is DOE's expectation that the offerors will estimate the proposal costs based upon the RFP scope of work and their own approach to accomplishing the work. The DOE will evaluate the proposed costs in accordance with the terms and conditions of the RFP, including whether the proposed costs are realistic and reasonable as the costs relate to the proposed approach.

174. Where may I locate a copy of "Agreement Between the U.S. Department of Energy and USEC," dated June 17, 2002? The document is referenced in Section C.2.4 of Exhibit C.2.0.3 for the Portsmouth environmental remediation RFI, but I've been unable to locate a copy and would appreciate any assistance you could offer.

Answer: This document has been posted to the Remediation Web Site.

175. Are there any waste streams destined for disposal at NTS thus requiring an NTS Waste Certification Program?

Answer: It is the offeror's decision where to send the waste while complying with the requirements of the RFP. Waste sent to NTS does require a Certification Program. A link to the NTS radioactive waste acceptance program requirements has been posted on the Remediation Web Site.

176. We are having difficulty using many of the large PDF files for Reference Documents posted on the solicitation web site. The large files are difficult to successfully download. We have downloaded some of them multiple times, but when we open the file, Acrobat gives us a message that the file is corrupted and cannot print the complete document. For example, we have not been able to download this document without corruption, open, and print it: Reference Documents for Paducah, 30. Cultural Resource Survey and National Register Assessment, C-410 Complex, Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant, McCracken County, Kentucky.  
[http://www.ohio.doe.gov/pppo\\_seb/remediation/docs/43C-410Assessment.pdf](http://www.ohio.doe.gov/pppo_seb/remediation/docs/43C-410Assessment.pdf) 152.6mb -  
- Posted 01/26/2004. Would DOE please split the large document files into smaller files (under 30MB each) and post them? Thank you for assistance!

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

Answer: The largest files have been broken into smaller pieces to make downloading easier.

177. Authorization Basis Questions. DOE provided copies of Authorization Agreements on the DOE website for the PGDP UF6 cylinder yards (AA-00-031-PAD:UF6) and DOE Material Storage Areas (AA/R-00-035-PAD:DMSA). Both documents expire upon expiration or termination of M&I Contract Number DE-AC05-98OR22700. An active authorization approval is required for a smooth transition with continuity of services for the UF6 cylinder yards and the DMSAs storage areas. a. Will these Authorization Agreements remain active under Bechtel Jacobs during the transition period? b. If these Authorization Agreements remain active during the transition, can the new Remediation Contractor work under these agreements during the transition? c. If these specific Authorization Agreements do expire when the Remediation contractor assumes responsibility, will DOE issue interim Authorization Agreements (to the new Remediation contractor) during the transition period? d. If the interim Authorization Agreements are not an acceptable option, will DOE provide a more rapid review than the 20 business days (as stated in section H.17) to approve the new Authorization Agreements submitted by the Remediation contractor so that a quick and smooth transition can take place within the allowed 45 days?

Answer: a. Yes. b. The Remediation contractor will be performing transition related activities during transition, rather than statement of work activities. c. During transition, the Remediation contractor should submit either a revised Authorization Agreement(s) or request to continue to work under the existing Authorization Agreement(s), and provide to DOE for review and approval. d. The contractor should submit their revised Authorization Agreements as early as possible, and the DOE will make every attempt to approve the Authorization Agreements in a timely manner. Clear communication and prioritized activities will assist in making the transition as smooth as possible.

178. What is [the] responsibility of EM contractor for [USEC] operational type PCB spills or transfers?

Answer: If the term “EM contractor” in the question is referring to the Remediation contractor, please refer to Sections C.1.7.2 and C.2.3.3.2 of the Statement of Work.

179. Does the EM contract have any responsibility for provision of or control of the civilian water supply? [Water supplied to the public under the "Water Policy."] If so, can water be used for irrigation? and is the contract for water supply directly with DOE? or through the BJ contract?

Answer: If the term “EM contract” in your question is referring to the RFP for the Remediation contractor(s), the Remediation contractor(s) has no responsibility for the civilian water supply.

180. For the Weskem scrap metal project, what support services are provided by the

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

on-site contractor or other subcontractors at the site. Please provide a detailed scope of work for both Weskem and others for this project.

Answer: The scope of work for the scrap metal contract has been posted to the Remediation Web Site.

181. Amendment 0001 Item No. 27 revises 3.1 “remediation accountable property list.” The revised remediation accountable property list is missing.

Answer: The revised accountable property list is posted to the Remediation Web Site.

182. Clause H.17 indicates that telecommunications services will be provided by DOE. Does that include maintenance and administration of telephone equipment and connections in each facility? Does it include computer network systems?

Answer: Amendment 0002 clarifies the responsibilities and the GFSI for computers, telephones, and radios.

183. Clause B.1.6 describes the formula for initial quarterly fee payments. Will the first quarter for application of that formula include the transition period and the remainder of that quarter, or is it intended to refer to the first full calendar quarter of the contract?

Answer: The first quarter includes the transition period and begins on the date the contract is signed. Depending on the date the contract is signed, the first quarter may be less than 3 months and the fee will be pro-rated accordingly.

184. According to Attachment 6 of Section J, subcontract number 23900-SC-RM268F is to be assumed by the contractor. What is the estimated remaining value of that contract as of the anticipated transition date, including all pending requests for equitable adjustment?

Answer: The cost information relating to the subcontracts required to be assumed has been posted to the Remediation Web Site.

185. [Paducah] For the FY2004 funding profile of \$9M....a) Is this amount for the transition costs (45 days) only? b) are we to assume that BJC will retain the balance of FY2004 funding in order to complete or continue the ongoing site activities associated with the milestones listed in the SOW? c) If not retained by BJC, what is the BJC current expenditure plan for July, August and Sept. 2004 and will these funds be transferred to the Remediation Contractor on 7/2 (award) or 8/16 (site ops)?

Answer: a) No. This is for transition activities and any work accomplishment from the date of contractor assumption of responsibility for work (currently anticipated to be August 26, 2004) through September 30, 2004. b. No. This funding is anticipated to be provided to the Remediation contractor at Paducah. BJC will be accomplishing ongoing

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

site activities with separate funding while the contractor is in the transition period. c. This funding is anticipated to be provided to the Remediation contractor at Paducah therefore, the current BJC expenditure plan is not relevant.

186. The equipment list provided in the RFP does not list many items currently being used by BJC subcontractors. Are there any other items or pieces of equipment that will be transferred to the Remediation Contractor. For example, there is no rad detection equipment listed -- did BJC transfer title of "formerly" gov't owned equipment to their radcon subcontractor or does the ownership revert back to DOE and ultimately the Remediation Contractor. If this type of equipment is not going to be provided to the Remediation Contractor, how much capital expenditure money is available to purchase necessary gov't owned equipment and instrumentation.

Answer: There is additional GFP that is not included in the Section J, Attachment 3.1 and 3.2 lists that will be provided to the Remediation contractor(s) during transition. Government property used by subcontractors is still considered to be Government property unless special provisions and approvals are obtained. Additional information on the radiation survey instrumentation will be posted to the Remediation Web Site when available.

187. Will the contractor be required to obtain an EPA ID number for hazardous waste generation and/or TSCA material, or will it remain DOE's responsibility?

Answer: Yes, the contractor must obtain an EPA ID(s).

188. The RFP requires the contractor to assume the existing BJC subcontract for Paducah Scrap Metal Removal and Disposal. a) What is the total value of the subcontract? b) What has been expended to date from the total value (or what is to be expended by 8/16/04)? c) Specifically, which Paducah scrap metal areas are included within the scope of this subcontract(is it all scrap areas listed in the RFP with the exception of Ni ingots?) d) What scope is being provided by BJC and/or other BJC subcontractors to accomplish total execution of this scrap removal project?

Answer: a. and b. The subcontract costs have been posted to the Remediation Web Site. c. All of the metals/areas listed in the RFP Table C.1.2.1a are included in the subcontract. The nickel ingots are included in the subcontract as an option. d. The SOW for this subcontract has been posted to the Remediation Web Site.

189. Section H.18(f)(2)(B) and (C) requires that the contractor shall become a participating/sponsoring employer in the BJC MEPP and the BJC MEWA no later than 90 days after award. Further, the contractor is required to enter into administrative service agreements with BJC for the administration of the BJC MEPP and BJC MEWA. What costs should be included, either as a per hour cost, monthly cost or per cent of payroll cost, in the proposal for the MEPP, MEWA and the administrative costs that will be charged by BJC to administer these programs. If this information will not be provided directly by DOE, please identify the BJC person(s) and their contact information.

**Questions Generated from the Portsmouth and Paducah Project Office (PPPO)  
Remediation RFP – Group 7**

Answer: The information has been posted to the Remediation Web Site under shared documents.

190. Is a list of potential bidders available for solicitation DE-RP24-04-OH20179?

Answer: A list of the companies and their addresses for those companies that attended the site tours and the pre-proposal conference has been posted to the Remediation Web Site.